## Message

From: Westlaw@westlaw.com [Westlaw@westlaw.com]

**Sent**: 4/2/2019 10:14:48 AM

To: Olszewski, Joshua [olszewski.joshua@epa.gov]
Subject: Docket Track: Texas Regional Haze 5th Cir RP

## Westlaw Document

This docket is current through 04/02/2019

**Current Date: 4/2/2019** 

Source: US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Court: US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Case Title:STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL v. EPA, ET ALAppeal From:ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Panel Assignment:EBC JWE LHSDate of Hearing:06/22/2016Date Filed:03/01/2016

CASE INFORMATION

Case Number:16-60118Fee Status:FEE PAIDCase Type:APPEALS

Case Subtype: AGENCY, PETITION FOR REVIEW

LOWER COURT INFORMATION

**District:** EPA-1

Lower Court Docket Number: 81 FED. REG. 296

Date COA Received: 02/29/2016

OTHER CASES

Relationship: Lead Docket: Member Docket: Start Date: End Date:

RELATED 17-60828 12/21/2017

NAMES

**DOCKET PROCEEDINGS (5)** 

Entry #: Date: Description:

04/01/2019 STATUS REPORT ADVISING THE ViewBatch Download

AGENCY PROCEEDINGS ON REMAND ARE ONGOING AND THIS CASE SHOULD THEREFORE REMAIN IN ABEYANCE, THE NEXT STATUS REPORT IS DUE WITHIN 60 DAYS

FROM THIS DATE, UNTIL THE MATTER IS RESOLVED. [16-

60118]REVIEWED AND/OR EDITED -THE ORIGINAL TEXT PRIOR TO REVIEW APPEARED AS FOLLOWS:

STATUS REPORT FROM

RESPONDENTS EPA AND MR. ANDREW

WHEELER, DATE OF SERVICE:

04/01/2019 VIA EMAIL - ATTORNEY FOR

PETITIONERS: ALLISON, BENNETT,

BILLINGS-RAY, CHANDRASEKARAN,

FICHTHORN, FLYNN, GIDIERE,

JEZOUIT, KELLY, KURYLA,

MCDONALD, MITCHELL, MOORE,

ROMO, SEHA, STREETT, TAGGART,

TAYLOR: ATTORNEY FOR

INTERVENORS: BECKNER, GERHART,

NASI, SAXONHOUSE, SMITH, SOULES,

TRISKO, WALTERS; ATTORNEY FOR

RESPONDENTS: CARSON, PINKSTON.

THE NEXT STATUS REPORT IS DUE

WITHIN 30 DAYS FROM THIS DATE,

UNTIL THE MATTER IS RESOLVED.

[16-60118] (DAVID AIKEN CARSON)

[ENTERED: 04/01/2019 03:15 PM]

03/22/2017 COURT ORDER: IT IS ORDERED THAT IN ViewBatch Download

LIGHT OF THIS COURT'S

**DETERMINATION THAT PETITIONERS** 

AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS

**DEMONSTRATED A SUBSTANTIAL** 

LIKELIHOOD THAT RESPONDENT

**EXCEEDED ITS STATUTORY** 

**AUTHORITY AND OTHERWISE ACTED** 

ARBITRARILY AND CAPRICIOUSLY IN

ISSUING THE FINAL RULE'S SIP

DISAPPROVALS AND FIP PROVISIONS.

**RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO REMAND** 

THE CASE FOR RECONSIDERATION IS

**GRANTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** 

THAT RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO LIFT

THE STAY WITH REGARD TO THE

SECTIONS OF THE FINAL RULE

APPROVING PORTIONS OF THE TEXAS

AND OKLAHOMA SIPS IS DENIED. IT IS

FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE JOINT

**MOTION OF PETITIONERS AND** 

PETITIONER-INTERVENORS FOR

SUMMARY VACATUR OF THE FINAL

**RULE'S SIP DISAPPROVALS AND FIP** 

PROVISIONS BASED ON THE LEGAL

**ERRORS IDENTIFIED IN THE STAY** 

ORDER IS DENIED. IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED THAT THE JOINT MOTION OF

PETITIONERS AND PETITIONER-

INTERVENORS FOR AN ORDER

**CLARIFYING THAT THE STAY ORDER** 

**ENCOMPASSES ALL ASPECTS OF THE** 

FINAL RULE WITHOUT EXCEPTION AND

PROHIBITS RESPONDENT FROM RELYING ON ANY OF ITS SIP

DISAPPROVALS OR FIP ACTIONS AND RELATED FINDINGS IN THE FINAL RULE IN ANY SUBSECUENT RULE OR ACTION WHILE THE STAY IS IN PLACE IS DENIED. STAY FOLLOW UP DUE FROM RESPONDENTS EPA AND MR. SCOTT PRUITT 04/06/2017. [] JUDGE(S): EBC, JWE AND LHS. [16-60118] (CMB) [ENTERED: 03/22/2017-04:07-PM|COURT ORDER: IT IS ORDERED THAT IN LIGHT OF THIS COURTS DETERMINATION THAT PETITIONERS AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS DEMONSTRATED A SUBSTANTIAL LIKELIHOOD THAT RESPONDENT EXCEEDED ITS STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND OTHERWISE ACTED ARBITRARILY AND CAPRICIOUSLY IN ISSUING THE FINAL RULES SIP DISAPPROVALS AND FIP PROVISIONS, RESPONDENTS MOTION TO REMAND THE CASE FOR RECONSIDERATION IS GRANTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT RESPONDENTS MOTION TO LIFT THE STAY WITH REGARD TO THE SECTIONS OF THE FINAL RULE APPROVING PORTIONS OF THE TEXAS AND OKLAHOMA SIPS IS DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE JOINT MOTION OF PETITIONERS AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS FOR SUMMARY VACATUR OF THE FINAL RULES SIP DISAPPROVALS AND FIP PROVISIONS BASED ON THE LEGAL ERRORS IDENTIFIED IN THE STAY ORDER IS DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT THE JOINT MOTION OF PETITIONERS AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS FOR AN ORDER CLARIFYING THAT THE STAY ORDER ENCOMPASSES ALL ASPECTS OF THE FINAL RULE WITHOUT EXCEPTION AND PROHIBITS RESPONDENT FROM RELYING ON ANY OF ITS SIP DISAPPROVALS OR FIP ACTIONS AND RELATED FINDINGS IN THE FINAL RULE IN ANY SUBSEQUENT RULE OR ACTION WHILE THE STAY IS IN PLACE IS DENIED, STAY FOLLOW UP DUE FROM RESPONDENTS EPA AND MR, SCOTT PRUITT 04/06/2017. [] JUDGE(S): EBC, JWE AND LHS, [16-

## 60118] (CMB) [ENTERED: 03/22/2017

## 04:07 PMI

01/20/2017 REPLY FILED BY PETITIONERS BIG

BROWN LIGNITE COMPANY, L.L.C., BIG

BROWN POWER COMPANY, L.L.C.,

**LUMINANT BIG BROWN MINING** 

COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT

GENERATION COMPANY, L.L.C. AND

LUMINANT MINING COMPANY, L.L.C.

PETITIONERS COLETO CREEK POWER.

L.P., NUCOR CORPORATION, PUBLIC

UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS,

SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE

COMPANY, STATE OF TEXAS, TEXAS

**COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL** 

**QUALITY AND UTILITY AIR** 

REGULATORY GROUP REPLY IN

SUPPORT OF JOINT CROSS-MOTION FOR

SUMMARY VACATUR AND JOINT

**CROSS-MOTION TO ENFORCE AND** 

**CLARIFY THE COURT'S STAY ORDER** 

[8406493-1] TO THE

RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED BY

**RESPONDENTS EPA AND MS. GINA** 

MCCARTHY IN 16-60118 [8401953-2].

DATE OF SERVICE: 01/20/2017. [16-60118]

**REVIEWED AND/OR EDITED - THE** 

ORIGINAL TEXT PRIOR TO REVIEW

APPEARED AS FOLLOWS: REPLY FILED

BY PETITIONERS BIG BROWN LIGNITE

COMPANY, L.L.C., BIG BROWN POWER

COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT BIG

BROWN MINING COMPANY, L.L.C.,

**LUMINANT GENERATION COMPANY**,

L.L.C. AND LUMINANT MINING

COMPANY, L.L.C., THE STATE OF

TEXAS, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON

**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, THE** 

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF

TEXAS, UTILITY AIR REGULATORY

GROUP, SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC

SERVICE COMPANY, COLETO CREEK

POWER, LP, NUCOR CORPORATION, AND

PETITIONER-INTERVENORS [8406493-1]

TO THE RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED

BY RESPONDENTS EPA AND MS. GINA

MCCARTHY IN 16-60118 [8401953-2], TO

THE RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED BY

**INTERVENORS SIERRA CLUB AND** 

NATIONAL PARKS CONSERVATION

ASSOCIATION IN 16-60118 []. DATE OF

SERVICE: 01/20/2017 VIA EMAIL -

**ATTORNEY FOR INTERVENORS:** 

ViewBatch Download

BECKNER, GERHART, NASI, SAXONHOUSE, SMITH, TRISKO, WALTERS, WHITTLE; ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONERS: ALLISON, BENNETT, BILLINGS-RAY, BUMPERS, CHANDRASEKARAN, FICHTHORN, FLYNN, GIDIERE, JEZOUIT, KELLY, KURYLA, MCDONALD, MITCHELL, MOORE, ROMO, SEHA, STREETT, TAGGART, TAYLOR; ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS: CARSON, MAGHAMFAR, PINKSTON. [16-60118] (PHILIP STEPHEN GIDIERE III) [ENTERED: 01/20/2017 05:34 PM/REPLY FILED BY PETITIONERS BIG BROWN LIGNITE COMPANY, L.L.C., BIG BROWN POWER COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT BIG BROWN MINING COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT GENERATION COMPANY, L.L.C. AND LUMINANT MINING COMPANY, L.L.C. PETITIONERS COLETO CREEK POWER, L.P., NUCOR CORPORATION, PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS, SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, STATE OF TEXAS, TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND UTILITY AIR REGULATORY GROUP REPLY IN SUPPORT OF JOINT CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY VACATUR AND JOINT CROSS-MOTION TO ENFORCE AND CLARIFY THE COURTS STAY ORDER [8406493-1] TO THE RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED BY RESPONDENTS EPA AND MS. GINA MCCARTHY IN 16-60118 [8401953-2]. DATE OF SERVICE: 01/20/2017, [16-60118 REVIEWED AND/OR EDITED -THE ORIGINAL TEXT PRIOR TO REVIEW APPEARED AS FOLLOWS: REPLY FILED BY PETITIONERS BIG BROWN LIGNITE COMPANY, L.L.C., BIG BROWN POWER COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT BIG BROWN MINING COMPANY, L.L.C., LUMINANT GENERATION COMPANY, L.L.C. AND LUMINANT MINING COMPANY, L.L.C., THE STATE OF TEXAS, THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS, UTILITY AIR REGULATORY GROUP. SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE

COMPANY, COLETO CREEK POWER, LP, NUCOR CORPORATION, AND PETITIONER-INTERVENORS [8406493-1] TO THE RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED BY RESPONDENTS EPA AND MS. GINA MCCARTHY IN 16-60118 [8401953-2], TO THE RESPONSE/OPPOSITION FILED BY INTERVENORS SIERRA CLUB AND NATIONAL PARKS CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION IN 16-60118 [], DATE OF SERVICE: 01/20/2017 VIA EMAIL -ATTORNEY FOR INTERVENORS: BECKNER, GERHART, NASI, SAXONHOUSE, SMITH, TRISKO, WALTERS, WHITTLE; ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONERS: ALLISON, BENNETT, BILLINGS-RAY, BUMPERS, CHANDRASEKARAN, FICHTHORN, FLYNN, GIDIERE, JEZOUIT, KELLY, KURYLA, MCDONALD, MITCHELL, MOORE, ROMO, SEHA, STREETT, TAGGART, TAYLOR; ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENTS: CARSON, MAGHAMFAR, PINKSTON. [16-60118] (PHILIP STEPHEN GIDIERE III) [ENTERED: 01/20/2017 05:34 PM]

04/18/2016

COURT ORDER DENYING OPPOSED
MOTION OF INTERVENORS, NATIONAL
PARKS CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION
AND SIERRA CLUB, FOR
RECONSIDERATION OF THE APRIL 12,
2016, COURT ORDER GRANTING
RECONSIDERATION OF THE APRIL 8,
2016 CLERK'S ORDER. [] JUDGE(S): EBC,
JWE AND LHS. [16-60118] (CMB)
[ENTERED: 04/18/2016 10:11 AM] COURT
ORDER DENYING OPPOSED MOTION
OF INTERVENORS, NATIONAL PARKS
CONSERVATION ASSOCIATION AND
SIERRA CLUB, FOR

04/12/2016

COURT ORDER FILED: PETITIONERS'
OPPOSED JOINT MOTION FOR
DECONSIDER ATION, OF THE CLERK'S

RECONSIDERATION OF THE APRIL 12,

2016, COURT ORDER GRANTING RECONSIDERATION OF THE APRIL 8, 2016 CLERKS ORDER. [] JUDGE(S): EBC,

JWE AND LHS. [16-60118] (CMB) [ENTERED: 04/18/2016 10:11 AM]

RECONSIDERATION, OF THE CLERK'S
APRIL 8, 2016 ORDER, IS GRANTED. EPA
SHALL FILE ITS CERTIFIED INDEX BY
APRIL 19, 2016. [] JUDGE(S): JWE. [16-60118] (CMB) [ENTERED: 04/12/2016 11:40

ViewBatch Download

ViewBatch Download

AMICOURT ORDER FILED:
PETITIONERS OPPOSED JOINT
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION, OF
THE CLERKS APRIL 8, 2016 ORDER, IS
GRANTED. EPA SHALL FILE ITS
CERTIFIED INDEX BY APRIL 19, 2016. []
JUDGE(S): JWE. [16-60118] (CMB)
[ENTERED: 04/12/2016 11:40 AM]

End of Document

© 2019 Thomson Routers. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

© 2019 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.